

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUL 19 2006

PATENT

Serial No. 10/685,358

Amendment in Reply to Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005
And Notice of Abandonment of February 24, 2006

REMARKS

This Amendment is being filed in response to the Office Action mailed August 4, 2005, which has been reviewed and carefully considered. Reconsideration and allowance in view of the following remarks and arguments are respectfully requested.

By means of the present amendment, the specification has been amended for conformance to the drawings. Further, the claims have been amended for better conformance to U.S. practice, such as beginning the independent claims with 'A', and the dependent claims with 'The', as well as changing "characterized in that" to --wherein--. The claims were not amended in order to address issues of patentability and Applicants respectfully reserve all rights under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the Abstract for not being a single paragraph. In response, the current Abstract has been deleted and substituted with the enclosed New Abstract which is a single paragraph and better conforms to U.S. practice. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the Abstract is respectfully requested.

PATENT
Serial No. 10/685,358
Amendment in Reply to Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005
And Notice of Abandonment of February 24, 2006

In the Office Action, the Examiner suggested adding headings to the specification. Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's suggestion, however respectfully decline to add the headings as they are not required in accordance with MPEP §608.01(a).

In the Office Action, claim 5 is objected to for having uninitiated hand writing. In response, claim 5 has been canceled and reintroduced without any hand writing as new claim 21. Further, claims 5-14 and 19-20 are objected to for being in improper multiple dependent form. In response, claims 8-9, 12, 14, 19, 22, 26 and 28 have been amended to remove any improper multiple dependencies. Moreover, claims 9-20 were objected to for certain informalities. In response, claims 6-20 have been amended to remove the informalities noted by the Examiner. Claims 9-20 were not amended in order to address issues of patentability and Applicants respectfully reserve all rights under the Doctrine of Equivalents. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to claims 5-20 is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as

PATENT
Serial No. 10/685,358

Amendment in Reply to Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005
And Notice of Abandonment of February 24, 2006

being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Nos. 6,480,197 and 6,693,636.

In response, a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R.

\$1.321(c) is enclosed herewith. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that this grounds for rejection be withdrawn.

In the Office Action, claims 1, 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by EP 0,389,689 (Bluthgen). Further, claims 2-3 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Bluthgen in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,005,388 (Morley). It should be noted that there appears to be a typographical error on page 11, item 6 of the Office Action that incorrectly refers to Morley as U.S. Patent No. 4,055,388 (which is to Johns, not Morley, and appears to be unrelated to the present application). It is respectfully submitted that claims 1-6 and 9 are patentable over Bluthgen and Morley for at least the following reasons.

Bluthgen is directed to broadcasting systems where main information consists of an audio or video program, and sub information comprises program unrelated information such as news, weather and traffic information, as recited on page 2, lines 4-6. Upon reception, the sub information is separated and displayed.

PATENT
Serial No. 10/665,358

Amendment in Reply to Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005
And Notice of Abandonment of February 24, 2006

Menus are used to more easily select the sub information to be separated. (See page 2, lines 27-28)

As recited on page 4, lines 47-50, if a 21-line display (such as a television screen) is not available, then parts of the information is displayed on a 2-line display. It is respectfully submitted that Bluthgen is not concerned with, and does not teach or suggest a display that displays a line of characters, where this one line has a predetermined number of character display positions being less than the number of character codes in coded text lines of sub data, as recited in independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 25.

There is simply no teaching or suggestion in Bluthgen of the present invention as recited in independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claims 9, 15 and 25, amongst other patentable elements requires (illustrative emphasis provided):

display unit is configured to display at least one line of characters, the at least one line having a predetermined number of character display positions, wherein said predetermined number of character positions of the at least one line is less than the number of character codes in the coded text lines, and wherein the coded text lines include control codes, the receiver being provided with display control means for controlling the display of characters corresponding to the character codes included in

PATENT
Serial No. 10/685,358
Amendment in Reply to Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005
And Notice of Abandonment of February 24, 2006

the coded text line in dependence on the said
control codes so that characters associated with
a selected number of the character codes are
displayed at the predetermined number of
character positions of the at least one line.

Morley is cited in rejecting other claims to allegedly show other features, and does not remedy the deficiencies in Bluthgen. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 25 should be allowable, and allowance thereof is respectfully requested. In addition, it is respectfully submitted that claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-14, 16-19, 21-24 and 26-30 should also be allowed at least based on their dependence from amended independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 25.

In addition, Applicants deny any statement, position or averment of the Examiner that is not specifically addressed by the foregoing argument and response. Any rejections and/or points of argument not addressed would appear to be moot in view of the presented remarks. However, the Applicants reserve the right to submit further arguments in support of the above stated position, should that become necessary. No arguments are waived and none of the Examiner's statements are conceded.


PATENT
Serial No. 10/685,358
Amendment in Reply to Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005
And Notice of Abandonment of February 24, 2006

It is believed that no additional fees or charges are currently due (beyond the fee for the Petition to Revive, the fee for the terminal disclaimer, the fee one additional independent claim in excess of 3, and the fee for eight (in view of canceled claims 5 and 20) additional claims in excess of 20 to be charged to the credit card as noted by the enclosed authorization). However, in the event that any additional fees or charges are required for entrance of the accompanying amendment, they may be charged to Applicants' representatives Deposit Account No. 50-3649. In addition, please credit any overpayments related to any fees paid in connection with the accompanying amendment to Deposit Account No. 50-3649.

PATENT
Serial No. 10/685,358
Amendment in Reply to Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005
And Notice of Abandonment of February 24, 2006

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Dicran Halajian, Reg. 39,703
Attorney for Applicant(s)
July 19, 2006

Enclosure: New Abstract
Petition to Revive
Terminal Disclaimer
Authorization to Charge Credit Card \$2,230 (\$1,500
for Filing the Petition to Revive, \$130 for
the Terminal Disclaimer fee, \$200 for one additional
independent claim in excess of 3, and \$400 for eight
additional claims in excess of 20

THORNE & HALAJIAN, LLP
Applied Technology Center
111 West Main Street
Bay Shore, NY 11706
Tel: (631) 665-5139
Fax: (631) 665-5101